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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider a monotonic non-increasing sequence $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ and find the condition under which the Norlund summability method ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) shows Gibbs phenomenon.
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1. INTRODUCTION: In the theory of approximation, it is important to study about the limit of convergence of approximating function and the limit of approximant. The relating study for a discontinuous function $\phi(\mathrm{x})$, defined as $\phi(\mathrm{x})=(\pi-\mathrm{x}) / 2,0<\mathrm{x}<2 \pi$; $=0, x=0,2 \pi$, has been firstly investigated by $J . W$. Gibbs by taking partial sum $s_{n}(x)$ of the Fourier series of $\phi(x)$ in the neighbourhood of a point of discontinuity of $\phi(x)$. Since

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\infty}(\sin \mathrm{kx}) / \mathrm{k}=(\pi-\mathrm{x}) / 2=\phi(\mathrm{x}), \quad 0<\mathrm{x}<2 \pi,
$$

we see that the series is not uniformly convergent in the neighbourhood of $x=0$. Let $x>0$, we have

$$
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})=(-\mathrm{x} / 2)+\int_{0}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt},
$$

where $D_{n}(t)=\sin ((n+1) / 2) t / 2 \sin (t / 2)$. Since the integral

$$
(2 / \pi) \int_{0}^{\xi}(\operatorname{sinnt} / t) d t, \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq \pi,
$$

is uniformly bounded in n and $\xi$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})+(\mathrm{x} / 2)=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{nx}}(\sin \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}+\mathrm{o}(1) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $0 \leq \mathrm{x} \leq \pi$. Thus $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})$ are uniformly bounded, but the curve of approximation overshoot the mark in the neighbourhood of $x=0$ in the interval ( $0, \pi$ ] ( cf. Knopp [4], p. 379 for $n=9$ ). The smoothening of convergence of Fourier series is quite important for filter design (cf. Hamming [2]). More precisely, we consider the integral of (sint/t) over the intervals ( $\mathrm{k} \pi$, $(\mathrm{k}+1) \pi), \mathrm{k}=0,1,2, \ldots$. We know that these integrals decrease in absolute value and are of alternating sign (cf. Zygmund [5], p . 61) for $k=0,1,2, \ldots$, the curve

$$
y=\int_{0}^{x}(\sin t / t) d t=G(x), \text { say, }
$$

takes maxima with $M_{1}>M_{3}>M_{5}>\ldots$, at the points $\pi, 3 \pi, 5 \pi, \ldots$, and minima $m_{2},<m_{4}<m_{6}<\ldots$, at the points $2 \pi, 4 \pi, 6 \pi, \ldots$. From (1.1), we obtain

$$
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}(\pi / \mathrm{n}) \rightarrow \int_{0}^{\pi}(\sin t / \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}>(\pi / 2)
$$

Thus, though $s_{n}(x)$ tends to $\phi(x)$ at every fixed $x, 0<x<2 \pi$, the curve $y=s_{n}(x)$, which pass through the point $(0,0)$ condense to the interval $0 \leq y \leq G(\pi)$ of the $y$-axis, the ratio of whose length to that of the interval $0 \leq y \leq \phi(+0)=(\pi / 2)$ is

$$
(2 / \pi) \int_{0}^{\pi}(\sin t / t) \mathrm{dt}=1.179 \ldots
$$

Similarly, to the left of $x=0$, the curve $y=s_{n}(x)$ condense to the interval $-G(\pi) \leq y \leq 0$. This behaviour is called Gibbs phenomenon i.e., if the ratio $\left[s_{n}(+0)-s_{n}(0)\right] /[\phi(+0)-\phi(0)]>1$, then $s_{n}(x)$ show Gibbs phenomenon in the right of $x=0$. The generalized form of Gibbs phenomenon is described in Zygmund ([5], p. 61). The Gibbs phenomenon for (C, $\alpha$ ) method, $0<\alpha<$ 1, was studied by Zygmund ([5], p.110) and the following was obtained:

Theorem A. There is an absolute constant $\alpha_{0}, 0<\alpha_{0}<1$, with the following property: if $f(x)$ has a simple discontinuity at a point $\xi$, the means $\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\alpha}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{f})$ show Gibbs phenomenon at $\xi$ for $\alpha<\alpha_{0}$ but not for $\alpha \geq \alpha_{0}$.

In this paper, we consider a more general method ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) than $(\mathrm{C}, \alpha)$ method, $\alpha>-1$. The concerned $\left(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ methods are those which sum the Fourier series at a point of discontinuity of the function. The following is due to Hille and Tamarkin [3]:

Theorem B. Let $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ be a non-negative, non-increasing sequence and let $t_{n}(x)$ denote the ( $N, p_{n}$ ) mean of $s_{n}(x)$. Then for $[\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{t})-\{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}+0)+\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}-0)\}]=\mathrm{o}(1)$, as $\mathrm{t} \rightarrow 0$, then $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}) \rightarrow(1 / 2)[\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}+0)+\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}-0)]$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}} / \mathrm{k}\right) \leq \mathrm{MP}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots,
$$

where $M$ is some positive constant. We know that the condition (1.2) for the sequence $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ is equivalent to the condition (cf. Dikshit and Kumar [1]),
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \infty \\
& \mathrm{K} \geq \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}} \sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}\left(1 / \mathrm{nP} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where K is some positive constant. From Lemma 1, we find that the condition (1.3) is equivalent to $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \leq(\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{n})^{\alpha}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{n}$, for some $\alpha$ in $(0,1)$. Thus, a condition of the above type is natural one for considering Gibbs phenomenon of ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) method. In fact, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Let $\left\{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ be a non-negative and non-increasing sequence. Let $\alpha$ be a number such that $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \leq(\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{n})^{\alpha}, 1 \leq$ $\mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{n}$, then there exists a constant $\alpha_{0}, 0<\alpha_{0}<1$ such that the $\left(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ method shows Gibbs phenomenon for $\alpha<\alpha_{0}$, but not for $\alpha \geq \alpha_{0}$ at a point of simple discontinuity $\xi$ of $f(x)$.

We need the following lemmas:
Lemma 1. Let $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ be a non-negative and non-increasing sequence and let

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}} \sum_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}\left(1 / \mathrm{nP} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \leq \mathrm{K}, \quad \mathrm{~m}=1,2, \ldots,
$$

where K is some positive constant, then $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \leq(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{n})^{\delta}$, for some $0<\delta \leq 1,1 \leq \mathrm{m} \leq[\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}]$, c is some fixed positive integer.

Proof. For any integer k, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{K} & \geq \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}{\underset{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{m}}{\infty}\left(1 / \mathrm{nP} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \geq \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}{\underset{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{~km}}\left(1 / \mathrm{nP} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}}^{\geq\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{km}}\right) \text { logk. }}
\end{aligned}
$$

That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{km}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \geq\left(\log _{4} \mathrm{k} \log 4^{\mathrm{e}} / \mathrm{K}\right) \geq 4, \text { for large } \mathrm{k} \geq \mathrm{k}_{0} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take for convenience $\mathrm{k}_{0} \geq 4$. For a given sufficiently large n , we can find a fixed integer $\mathrm{c} \geq \mathrm{k}_{0}$, and r such that

$$
c^{\mathrm{r}+(1 / 2)} \mathrm{m} \leq \mathrm{n}<\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{r}+1} \mathrm{~m} .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \geq\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) 4^{\mathrm{r}}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by a repeated application of the fact that $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{km}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}} \geq 4$.
We can find a number $\mu,(1 / 2) \leq \mu<1$, such that $n=c^{r+\mu} m$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{r}=\log _{4}(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m})^{\delta}-\mu \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta=\left(1 / \log _{4} \mathrm{c}\right)$. Obviously, $\delta \leq 1$.
From (1.5) and (1.6), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \geq & \geq \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{r}+\mu} \mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~m}} \quad \log _{4}(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m})^{\delta}-\mu \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\mathrm{r}+\mu} \mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~m}} \quad(4)^{-\mu}(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m})^{\delta} . \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Again from (1.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K} \geq \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~m}}^{\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{r}+\mu} \mathrm{m}}(1 / \mathrm{nPn}) \\
& P_{c}{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\geq \frac{P_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{r}+\mu} \mathrm{m}}}{} \log \mathrm{c}^{\mu}
$$

that is

$$
\frac{P_{c}{ }^{r+\mu} m}{P_{c}{ }^{r} m} \geq\left(\log c^{\mu} / K\right)
$$

Now, from (1.7) and (1.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \geq & \frac{\operatorname{logc}^{\mu}}{\mathrm{K}} 4^{-\mu}(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m})^{\delta} \\
& \geq 4 \mu 4^{-\mu}(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m})^{\delta} \geq(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m})^{\delta} \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

by the fact that $4^{\mu} \leq 4 \mu$, for $(1 / 2) \leq \mu<1$. Thus (1.9) shows that $\left(P_{m} / P_{n}\right) \leq(m / n)^{\delta}, 0<\delta \leq 1$, $1 \leq \mathrm{m} \leq[\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}]$.

This proves the lemma.
Lemma 2. Given any $m>0$, there exists a $\delta(m)>0$ and $n_{0}(m)$ such that

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})<(\pi / 2)-\delta \quad \text { for } 0 \leq \mathrm{x} \leq(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{n}), \mathrm{n}>\mathrm{n}_{0}
$$

Lemma 2 is contained in Zygmund ([5], p.111).
Proof of the Theorem. Since the partial sum $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})$ is uniformly summable ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) at every point of continuity (cf. Hille and Tamarkin [3]), so to prove the theorem, we prove it for the function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \sim \sin \mathrm{x}+(\sin 2 \mathrm{x} / 2)+\ldots$, at $\xi=0$. Observing that $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}$, $=$ $\cos x+\cos 2 x+\ldots$, we get

$$
\left.\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{x}} \underset{\mathrm{k}=1}{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{n}} \operatorname{coskt}\right) \mathrm{dt}=((\pi-\mathrm{x}) / 2)-\int_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt},}
$$

and

$$
\left.\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{x})=((\pi-\mathrm{x}) / 2)-\left(1 / 2 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \quad \sum_{\mathrm{k}=0} \int_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}}^{\pi} \frac{\sin (\mathrm{k}+(1 / 2)) \mathrm{t}}{\sin (\mathrm{t} / 2)} \mathrm{dt}\right)
$$

We write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1 / 2 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\left(\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{[\mathrm{n} / 2]}+\sum_{\mathrm{k}=[\mathrm{n} / 2]+1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}}(\sin (\mathrm{k}+(1 / 2) \mathrm{t}) / \sin (\mathrm{t} / 2)) \\
& =\Sigma_{1}+\Sigma_{2} \text {, say. } \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Abel's Lemma, we find that

$$
\left|\Sigma_{1}\right| \leq 1 / \mathrm{n}(\sin (\mathrm{t} / 2))^{2},
$$

Hence

$$
\left|\int_{\mathrm{x}}^{\pi} \Sigma_{1} \mathrm{dt}\right| \leq(2 / \mathrm{n}) \cot (\mathrm{x} / 2) .
$$

Again using mean value theorem, we have for some $x<\xi<\pi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0} \Sigma_{2} \mathrm{dt}\right| \leq \mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1 / \mathrm{x}} / \mathrm{nP}_{\mathrm{n}} \sin (\mathrm{x} / 2)\right) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $P_{1 / \xi} \leq P_{1 / x}$ for $x<\xi$.
Combining (1.10), (1.11) and (1.12), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{x}) \leq(\pi-\mathrm{x}) / 2+(2 / \mathrm{n}) \cot (\mathrm{x} / 2)+\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1 / \mathrm{x}} / \mathrm{nP}_{\mathrm{n}} \sin (\mathrm{x} / 2)\right) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the hypothesis that $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \leq(\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{n})^{\alpha}, 0<\alpha<1$, we see that the second term in (1.13) dominate the last term. Thus, if $n x$ is sufficiently large, say $n x>m, n \geq n_{1}$ and $n x^{2}>1$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{x})\right| \leq(\pi / 2) \quad \text { for }(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}) \leq \mathrm{x} \leq \pi \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we show that if the sequence $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ is suitably chosen then the inequality (1.14) is true for other values of $x$, i.e., for $0 \leq x \leq(m / n)$. To see this, we consider $t_{n}{ }^{p}(x)-\sigma_{n}(x)$, where $\sigma_{n}(x)$ denote the $(C, 1)$ mean of $s_{n}(x)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|t_{n}{ }^{p}(x)-\sigma_{n}(x)\right|= & \left|\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{P_{n-k}}{P_{n}} \frac{\operatorname{sinkx}}{k}-\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n-k+1}{n+1} \frac{\operatorname{sinkx}}{k}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{P_{n}}^{n-k+1}\left(\frac{P_{n-k}}{n-k+1}-\frac{P_{n}}{n+1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{n}\right)$ is non-increasing for $\left\{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$. We have

$$
\left|\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{x})-\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})\right| \leq \mathrm{x}\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{1} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)-((\mathrm{n}+2) / 2)\right]
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{1} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) & =\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}} \int_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k}+1}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{dx} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{n})^{\alpha} \mathrm{dx}=\left[(\mathrm{n}+1)^{\alpha+1} /(\alpha+1) \mathrm{n}^{\alpha}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|t_{n}^{p}(x)-\sigma_{n}(x)\right| \leq & x\left[\frac{(n+1)^{\alpha+1}}{\left.(\alpha+1) n^{\alpha}\right]}-\frac{n+2}{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{n x(1-\alpha)}{2(\alpha+1)}+x\left[\frac{(n+1)^{\alpha+1}-n^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1) n^{\alpha}}-1\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $(\mathrm{n}+1)^{\alpha+1}-\mathrm{n}^{\alpha+1} \leq(2 \mathrm{n})^{\alpha}$ and $2^{\alpha} \leq \alpha+1$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, we have

$$
\left|\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{x})-\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})\right| \leq[\mathrm{nx}(1-\alpha) / 2(\alpha+1)] .
$$

That is

$$
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{x}) \leq \sigma_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})+(\mathrm{nx} / 2)(1-\alpha)
$$

By Lemma 2, we have

$$
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{x}) \leq(\pi / 2)-\delta(\mathrm{m})+\left(\mathrm{m}_{1} / 2\right)(1-\alpha), 0 \leq \mathrm{nx} \leq \mathrm{m}_{1} .
$$

Now, if we take $\alpha$, such that $(1-\alpha) m_{1} / 2-\delta\left(m_{1}\right)<0$, then

$$
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{x}) \leq(\pi / 2), \text { for } 0 \leq \mathrm{nx} \leq \mathrm{m}_{1} .
$$

In order to show that for positive and small enough $\alpha$, the Gibbs phenomenon occurs, and it does not occur for $\alpha \geq 1$, we consider the difference $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})$. We have

$$
\left|\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})\right| \leq \mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{n}-\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{1} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right)<\mathrm{nx} \alpha .
$$

Thus

$$
\left|\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{p}}(\pi / \mathrm{n})-\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}(\pi / \mathrm{n})\right| \leq \pi \alpha, \quad \text { for } 0<\alpha<1 .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}(\pi / \mathrm{n})-\pi \alpha \leq \quad \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{p}}(\pi / \mathrm{n}) \leq \pi \alpha+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}(\pi / \mathrm{n})
$$

From the above inequality, we see that for small $\alpha$

$$
\operatorname{Liminf}_{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{p}}(\pi / \mathrm{n})>(\pi / 2),
$$

by the fact that $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}(\pi / \mathrm{n})$ tends to a limit greater than $(\pi / 2)$.
Hence the Gibbs phenomenon occurs for small values of $\alpha$. This proves that there exists $\alpha_{0}, 0<\alpha_{0}<1$, such that for $\alpha<\alpha_{0}$ the Gibbs phenomenon exists, while for $\alpha>\alpha_{0}$ it does not exist.
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